



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

145

CWP-17924-2026

Date of decision : 29.05.2026

Rajni Khanna and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Pardeep Singh Mirpur, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the statutory pay scale to the petitioners as per 2009 Rules (Annexure P-5) i.e. Rs.10300-34800 + 5000 Grade Pay for the Master Cadre and Grade Pay Rs.4200/- for ETT Cadre, Lecturer Cadre, Librarian and Class-IV employees etc. working under the Education Department, later on Grade Pay increased, vide letter dated 27.02.2012 (Annexure P-6), from entry into service along with arrears and interest @ 12% per annum and to take into account the abovesaid statutory pay scale with further pay revisions. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the instructions dated 17.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) and release the benefits of judgment passed in ***CWP-15896-2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another'*** and other connected cases ***decided on 13.09.2024*** to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted



CWP-17924-2026

-2-

representation dated 07.08.2025 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5/competent authority to consider and decide representation dated 07.08.2025 (Annexure P-9) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

29.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No